## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,462	02/28/2002	Tomohiro Koyata	7217/66559 1812		
530 7:	590 07/24/2006		EXAMINER		
LERNER, DAVID, LITTENBERG,			BAYAT, BRADLEY B		
KRUMHOLZ & MENTLIK 600 SOUTH AVENUE WEST			ART UNIT PAPER NUM		
WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			3621		
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/085,462	KOYATA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Bradley B. Bayat	3621

	Bradley B. Bayat	3621				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>10 July 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
NOTICE OF APPEAL						
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of the appeal. Since			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	. will not be entered b	ecause			
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below		,,				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	•	ducing or simplifying	the issues for			
appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		maliant Amandment	(DTOL 224)			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		Impliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).			
<ul><li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)</li><li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a</li></ul>		timely filed amondmy	ent concoling the			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	nowable il submitted in a separate,	urnery med amendme	sin canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		il be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-11 and 13-17</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-17 and 13-17.  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, by because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	ut before or on the date of filing a N id sufficient reasons why the affidat	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10.   The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. A The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.			nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PIO/SB/08 or PIO-1449) Paper!	NO(S)				
13.  Other:		Bradley B. Bayaty				
•		Patent Ekaminer Art Unit: 3621				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments to the claims require a further search and/or consideration by the examiner.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive as to the claims prior to applicant's amendment. As to arguments put forward in relation to the amended claims, a further search and consideration by the examiner is required.